

**SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

Author: Figueroa Analyst: Deborah Barrett Bill Number: SB 796  
 Related Bills: See Prior Analysis Telephone: 845-4301 Amended Date: 08-15-2005  
 Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBJECT:** Government Modernization, Efficiency, Accountability, And Transparency Code Act Of 2005/California Taxpayer's Performance Report Card

- ☒ DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as amended May 31, 2005.
- \_\_\_\_\_ AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.
- ☒ AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as amended May 31, 2005.
- ☒ FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.
- \_\_\_\_\_ DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☒ REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED May 31, 2005, STILL APPLIES.
- OTHER – See comments below.

**SUMMARY**

This bill would do the following:

- require certain links on state agency Web sites to assist interested parties in participating in state government and
- establish a task force to measure the performance of various state agencies in fulfilling their mission.

**SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS**

The August 15, 2005, amendments revised the requirement that notices of public meetings and agendas be posted on the department's Web site from no later than 15 days to 10 days prior to the meeting date, which complies with the Bagley Keene Open Meeting Act. The amendments also removed the requirement for the task force to provide a letter grade for all of state government. These amendments did not resolve all of the implementation concerns identified in the department's analysis of this bill as amended May 31, 2005. The remaining implementation concern and estimated fiscal cost are provided below.

**POSITION**

Pending.

Board Position:

\_\_\_\_\_ S \_\_\_\_\_ NA \_\_\_\_\_ NP  
 \_\_\_\_\_ SA \_\_\_\_\_ O \_\_\_\_\_ NAR  
 \_\_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_\_ OUA \_\_\_\_\_ X PENDING

Legislative Director

Date

Brian Putler

08/26/2005

## IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATION

The bill would require the department to reimburse the task force for all costs incurred by the task force associated with review of the department. The bill is silent about what resources or staffing the department would contribute under contract to the task force to conduct the review of the department. Fiscal costs have been developed based on the assumptions detailed below.

## **FISCAL COSTS**

The department anticipates this bill will result in departmental costs of approximately \$110,000. This estimate includes the department's anticipated share of costs of the task force and one position to coordinate and provide support for task force review of the department. Additionally, the following assumptions were considered in the estimate:

- since FTB has significant impact on the lives of California citizens, it is likely to be one of the agencies reviewed,
- the activities and resources required of FTB for task force review would be required every two years, and
- FTB has already established performance measurements and service standards that are anticipated to be compatible with the task force's expectations.

## **LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT**

Deborah Barrett  
Franchise Tax Board  
(916) 845-4301  
[deborah.barrett@ftb.ca.gov](mailto:deborah.barrett@ftb.ca.gov)

Brian Putler  
Franchise Tax Board  
(916) 845-6333  
[brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov](mailto:brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov)